IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH AT HYDERABAD

HON'BLE Mr. JUSTICE R. SUBHASH REDDY

Writ Petition No.8318 of 2002

Dated: July 5, 2006

Between:

T. Satish Singh, S/o. T. Vijay Singh, aged 20 years,
Occ: Student, R/o.18-3-654/3/A/7, Near Venkateswara Temple, Outside Laldarwaza, Hyderabad.

… Petitioner

And

Convenor, EAMCET, S.V. Bhavan,
Masab Tank, Hyderabad-500 028, and others.

… Respondents

Order:

This writ petition has been filed seeking a Writ of Mandamus to declare the action of the respondents in withholding his admission to B.Tech 1st year for the academic year 2001-2002 on the ground of enquiry into his social status, as illegal and arbitrary and a consequential direction to the respondents is sought to admit the petitioner in B.Tech 1st year course.

2. Petitioner, claiming to be belonging to Agnikula Kshatriya, a BC 'A' community, appeared for EAMCET examination in the year 2001 and secured rank of '58524'. It is the case of the petitioner that he attended the counselling conducted by the first respondent and was allotted a seat in Mahavir Institute of Science and Technology; but his admission has been withheld by the respondents doubting the veracity of his social status. Hence, the petitioner has come up with the present writ petition.

3. This Court by its order dated 18-09-2002 directed the respondents to give provisional admission to the petitioner and permit him to attend classes in view of presumption under Section 7 of A.P. (S.C., S.T. & B.C) Regulation of Issue of Community Certificates Act, 1993 ('the Act' for brevity).

4. The first respondent has filed counter affidavit stating that subsequent to filing of the writ petition, the petitioner requested the B.C. Welfare Department to cancel his seat in Engineering and return the original certificates. In turn, the Commissioner, Backward Class Welfare Department has requested the Convener, EAMCET to cancel the seat of the petitioner and return his original certificates as per the request of the petitioner.

5. In view of the subsequent events that had taken place after the writ petition was filed, i.e. the petitioner requesting the authorities concerned to cancel his admission and return his original certificates, no cause survives to be adjudicated in this writ petition.

6. The writ petition is accordingly dismissed as infructuous. No order as to costs.

JUSTICE R. SUBHASH REDDY.

July 5, 2006
MRR